CANUCK LAW
Reporting That You're Not Getting Elsewhere (Do Your Own Research To Verify)

JUNE 19, 2019 BY RONNIE

# How The Left Wages War On The American Republic

(2016 election, Electoral College)




(2016 election, by district)



(Snopes: LA County as big as 35 individual states)

The red dot is Los Angeles County. That county has the same population as ALL of the blue sections. Any questions as to why we need to keep the Electoral College?

Whether the U.S. "needs" to keep the Electoral College is a subjective issue beyond the scope of this piece, but we can address a few of the factual issues related to this image

Note: Each of the topics below could have been an article all by itself. However, in this instance, it is better to demonstrate the "pattern" and where it is all leading.An individual even could be seen as an anomaly. However, it is better to connect the dots and view it all in context.

An important distinction here: Canada and the United States rely on different models to choose their leaders. Here is the difference in a nutshell.

***CANADA*** has a Parliamentary system. Canadians vote on their MPs (currently there are 338 Federal districts). The Party with the majority (170) of the seats, or at least a plurality (in minority parliaments), governs. The Prime Minister is the leader of the largest party. The Senate consists of 105 unelected members, chosen by various Prime Ministers. If a majority of members vote against a Government, it is considered defeated.

***THE UNITED STATES*** has a Congressional system. There is an "Electoral College", gives each states so many of the 538 "votes". The magic number to win is 270. Every decade, the maps are redrawn in accordance with the national census, giving growing states more votes, and other states less. Each state has its own rules for which Presidential Candidate gets the seats, but typically, the winner of the state gets them all. House of Representative Members, there are 435, are elected for 2 year terms. Each State has 2 Senators, which are elected for 6 year terms.

The Electoral College may seem strange, but it has a purpose, to ensure that smaller states are not overwhelmed by larger states. To provide some balance. The US is a

republic, not a democracy. It is this “Electoral College” that leftists seek to undermine.

Why undermine it? Because it becomes an issue of popular vote v.s. electoral votes. In the 2016 election, Donald Trump won the Electoral College, and hence became President, despite have less overall votes. It is widely (and accurately) believed that the Electoral College tends to favour Republican Candidates, while the popular vote — due to those urban areas — tends to favour Democrats.

## 1. States’ Resolutions to Bypass Electoral College

As stated earlier, the Electoral College was meant to keep smaller States from becoming powerless compared to larger States. Extremely dense urban areas should not be able to wield such influence. However, a movement is underway for States to award their “votes” to the Candidate who wins the popular vote. This tactic will likely favour democrats.

From the fivethirtyeight.com article:

> When Donald Trump won the presidency in 2016, it was the fourth time in American history — and the second time this century — **that a candidate won the Electoral College but lost the popular vote.** Now a group of voting-rights activists is working to prevent any future presidents from taking office the same way.

> The National Popular Vote initiative seeks to set up an interstate compact that would effectively **do an end run around the Electoral College without actually abolishing it,** which would require the lengthy, laborious process of building broad, bipartisan support to pass a constitutional amendment. The logic behind the compact is that the Constitution already gives states the power to award their electoral votes how they see fit, **so each state that signs on to the compact agrees to award its electoral votes to whoever wins the national popular vote — not necessarily the candidate who wins that state.** There’s just one catch: **The agreement only goes into effect when the states who’ve joined are worth a total of 270 electoral votes** — enough to deliver an automatic victory to the popular vote winner.

> Ultimately, the biggest challenge to the National Popular Vote agreement may be a legal

one. Election-law expert Rick Hasen at the University of California, Irvine School of Law told FiveThirtyEight he expected **there would be serious legal challenges to the compact if it crosses the 270-elector threshold. Opponents may brandish the part of the Constitution that says that interstate compacts require the consent of Congress, or they may argue that it runs afoul of the Voting Rights Act because it may diminish the clout of minority voters.** And, of course, there is the fact that it circumvents what the founders intended — **the Electoral College was designed to be an indirect method of electing the president.** So even if organizers somehow get states worth 270 electoral votes to join the compact, **expect it to face a long fight in the courts challenging whether it can actually take effect.**

There will certainly be a follow up article as this initiative progresses. But here is the takeaway:

Instead of States awarding their “votes” to the Presidential Candidate who actually wins their state, these states would instead give their votes to whoever won the overall popular vote. The intent is that states that a Republican would win, award the votes to the Democratic popular vote winner.

In short, this would do an end run around the Electoral College, and a significant check that has been in place for centuries.

## 2. Trying To Defraud Federal Census

There is actually a pending case before the Supreme Court on this issue. It is over whether or not “citizenship” should be on the census forms that are done every decade.

The Constitution requires an accurate population count every decade to **guide government decisions from political mapmaking to federal spending.** Recently revealed documents show the Commerce Department added the citizenship query after a political strategist found evidence doing so would undercount the true population and result in political districts that benefit Republican interests. As The Seattle Times’ Gene Balk reported, a study estimates **a national undercount of more than 4 million residents** — more than 75,000 in Washington— if the question is asked.

The above is an exerp from the Seattle Times, though there are many on the topic. The article is “partially” true in that the citizenship question will likely benefit Republican interests.

But the real issue is ***WHY*** that is.

As mentioned earlier, the States are each allotted so much of the 538 Electoral College votes, and those numbers shift with each census. But only citizens are allowed to vote in Federal elections, (although some municipal elections allow non-citizens).

But omitting the citizenship question blurs the line between citizen and non-citizen. Therefore, residents who are not citizens — or even illegal immigrants — would be able to count themselves and artificially boost the State’s population. With the increased population, the State would get more Electoral College votes, and hence wield more power in Federal elections.

## 3. Driver’s Licenses For Illegals, Auto Registration

New York State gives illegal immigrants driver’s licences. So do California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Maryland, New Mexico, Nevada, Utah, Vermont, and Washington.

That’s right. People who don’t have the legal right to be in the United States are allowed to legally obtain driver’s licenses.

Why? Supporters claim that it raises public safety if illegals are properly licensed and have access to some form of identification. The issue that these people are in the country ILLEGALLY is irrelevant.

Worth pointing out is that many States automatically upgrade their voting registry based on Department of Transportation records on driver’s licenses. What is the obvious conclusion?

People who are in the country illegally, are LEGALLY issued licenses, and then become registered to vote. Despite (again) not being allowed in the country in the first place. A good way to pad the voter rolls with new Democrat voters.

## 4. Sanctuary Cities

> The twin bills — SB 168 and HB 527 — both passed through their final committees this week. **They would create rules relating to federal immigration enforcement by prohibiting "sanctuary" policies and requiring state and local law enforcement to comply with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.** The bills also would give whistle-blower status to **officers who report citizenship violations by undocumented immigrants** detained in local jails on unrelated charges.

> Under these bills, **local law enforcement would be required to honor federal law enforcement's request for an "immigration detainer,"** meaning a request that another law enforcement agency detain a person based on probable cause to believe that the person is a "removable alien" under federal immigration law. The bill would essentially make the "request" a requirement.

Thankfully, Florida is showing some sense, although other States not so much. There are sanctuary cities across the US, and California is a "sanctuary state".

But it is nice to see some pushback at least.

## 5. Efforts To Get Felons Voting

While this has a humanitarian spin on it, there is a more practical reason for letting ex-felons vote (and even letting people vote in prison). It is the idea that the votes will mostly benefit Democrats.

> Vermont Sen. Bernie Sanders says that they should and that **voting is "inherent to our democracy — yes, even for terrible people."** Many of his rivals for the 2020 nomination aren't as sure, and at least one opposes the idea outright. Sanders himself acknowledged that he was essentially writing an attack ad for Republicans to use against him through his support for the issue.

> The question illustrates how Sanders continues to stand to the left of the other

candidates as he **endorses giving all prisoners, including those convicted of heinous crimes, the right to vote.** Prodded by criminal justice activists, Democrats have largely embraced the politically safer cause of winning back access to the ballot box for felons who have served their time.

## 6. Opposing Efforts To Build Border Wall

A draft of the House Appropriations Committee's fiscal **2020 Homeland Security spending bill does not provide any funding for additional Border Patrol Agents, Border Patrol checkpoints or border barriers** — A decision that is sure to invite opposition from Republicans and President Donald Trump.

The draft bill **does not provide any funding** for additional Border Patrol Agents, Border Patrol checkpoints, or border barriers, a move that is expected to get pushback from Republicans and President Donald Trump, who has reallocated funding from other departments to build a border wall

Yes, the US Congress has been preventing much of this from getting done. This includes Republicans who supposedly back President Trump.

Given the continued invasion that has gone on for decades, it "should" be a straightforward, bipartisan matter to fix the laws. It is hard to imagine any other answer than most Members of Congress don't want a real solution to the border crisis.

It's almost as if Congress is being paid off not to close the border. See the video on this. And see the following tables.

This was covered in an earlier piece, but worth reprinting. The US Congress is subjected to a lot of foreign influence and money. While it is illegal for Presidential Candidates to receive such funding, there is little stopping Members of Congress from doing so.

| GROUP | AMOUNT GIVEN |
|---|---|
| American-Israeli Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) | $3,518, 028 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Israeli-American Coalition For Action | $550,000 |
| J-Street | $400,000 |
| Zionist Organization of America | $200,000 |
| Republican Jewish Coalition | $130,000 |
| Christians United For Israeli Action Fund | $120,000 |
| Jewish Institute For National American Security | $90,000 |
| Jewish American Committee | $74,000 |
| Alliance for Israeli Advocacy | $60,000 |

This is the source (for 2018)

And no, that is not the end of it either.
Consider there are Saudi (Islamic) organizations that lobby as well.

| **Lobbying Firm** | **Amount Donated** |
|---|---|
| Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP | $220,770 |
| Boland & Madigan, Inc. | $420,000 |
| Burson-Marsteller | $3,619,286.85 |
| Cambridge Associates | $8,505 |
| Cassidy & Associates | $720,000 |
| DNX Partners, LLC | $225,000 |
| Dutton & Dutton, PC | $3,694,350 |
| Fleishman-Hillard | $6,400,000 |
| Gallagher Group, LLC | $612,337.37 |
| Iler Interests, LP | $388,231.14 |
| Loeffler Tuggey Pauerstein Rosental, LLP | $2,350,457.12 |

| | |
|---|---|
| Loeffler, Jonas & Tuggey, LLP | $1,260,000 |
| MPD Consultants, LLP | $1,447,267.13 |
| Powell Tate, Inc. | $990,732.77 |

Source is here.

Could the reason Congress refuses to act be because of the Jewish and Islamic groups contributing to their campaigns? That is certainly part of it.

## 7. Corruption In US Judiciary

> A federal judge who partially **blocked President Trump's plans to build a border wall along the United States-Mexico border previously donated almost $30,000 to former President Obama,** other Democrats, and a political action committee.

> U.S. District Court Judge Haywood Gilliam, an **Obama appointee confirmed in 2014, donated $6,900 to Barack Obama's debut campaign for president and $14,500 to his reelection campaign, according to federal election records. The same records also indicate he contributed $4,500 to the Democratic National Committee in 2012** and, between 2012 and 2015, sent $3,100 to the Covington Burling LLP PAC, which supports candidates from both parties. **His contributions totaled $29,000.**

> Gilliam is **one of three federal judges who have donated to Democratic candidates** in the past and recently ruled against the Trump administration.

> U.S. District Judge **Edgardo Ramos and U.S. District Judge Amit Mehta, both Obama appointees, ruled to release Trump's financial documents demanded by Democratic subpoenas as investigations** into President Trump continue in the wake of special counsel Robert Mueller's Russia investigation.

Unbelievable. Judges who donated to President Trump's political opponents are issuing rulings against him.

Even if these Judges “could” be unbiased here, the proper thing would have been to recuse themselves from their respective cases. It is a clear conflict of interest.

If this border wall isn’t getting built, or if the Government is needlessly tied up, guess what happens? More illegals come in. Unscreened. Unvetted. Public funds used to accommodate. And once they are “settled” in the US, many will get driver’s licenses and be allowed to vote. The votes of genuine Americans will be offset by illegals.

It would be nice to know who is bankrolling the Judges in such matters. It seems doubtful that this influence is purely ideological.

And speaking of corruption in the courts, there is that little stunt in October 2018 where Liberals tried to sabotage the nomination of Brett Kavanaugh. This happened with a far-fetched and wildly inconsistent claim of sexual assault from the 1980s.

What easier way to influence the highest court than by preventing judge’s with “incorrect” views from taking the bench?

## 8. Lawsuit To Allow Illegal Immigration

This was reported in thehill.com, and previously covered on this site. Interesting how impoverished migrants fleeing persecution happen to have a team of lawyers ready to launch court challenges on their behalf.

> Trump’s professed and enacted policy towards **thousands of caravanners seeking asylum in the United States is shockingly unconstitutional.** President Trump continues to abuse the law, including constitutional rights, to deter **Central Americans from exercising their lawful right to seek asylum in the United States,** and the fact that innocent children are involved matters none to President Trump.

> On top of the above, Trump has repeatedly professed that **the caravan people will not get into this county, and just as significant, Trump has taken meaningful steps to ensure the world that this is his policy position/initiative, meaningful steps such as deploying thousands of active military troops to the border,** waiting on caravan persons to arrive. The legal problem with Trump’s plan to stop caravan persons from

> entering this country is that Plaintiffs are seeking asylum, and Trump simply cannot stop them from legally doing so by using military, or anyone.

This would be funny, but is actually very serious. Lawyers are not just arguing that their clients have the right to seek asylum, but seek asylum *specifically in the US*. No other country, including multiple countries they passed through, will suffice.

The action also refers to "thousands" of asylum seekers. It seems reasonable to conclude they don't want any sort of limitation.

And when thousands of unidentified people come marching to your border, what responsible President wouldn't deploy the military to stop them?

## 9. UN Backs Mass Illegal Entry Into US

This was covered in another piece, but is worth repeating. The UN supports and condones, mass illegal entry into the US and other countries.

> The United Nations Migration Agency, IOM, is providing support and assistance to migrants crossing Central America in several self-styled caravans, while expressing concern over "the stress and demands" they are placing on host countries.

> All migrants must be respected, regardless of their migratory status – IOM Chief of Mission in Mexico

Under the guise of "human rights", the UN aids and abets this invasion across the US/ Mexico border.

## 10. War On The Well Being of US

So how bad are the problems in the US

- End run around Electoral College

- Fraud in the US Census
- Driver's Licenses for illegals, voting rights
- 20+ million illegals in US
- Sanctuary cities
- Opposition to much needed border wall
- Pushing to let felons vote
- Corruption within the courts
- Lawsuit to legalize illegal immigration
- Congress paid off by Islamic lobby
- Congress paid off by Jewish lobby
- United Nations pushing for open borders

It is a war against the United States.
May she remain free.

YouTuber Mr. Reagan, created this video, and this video, on Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez and the Justice Democrats. Well worth a watch.

VOTING RIGHTS:
(1) https://brilliantmaps.com/if-only-x-voted/
(2) https://www.snopes.com/fact-check/county-map-keep-electoral-college/
(3) https://fivethirtyeight.com/features/the-movement-to-skip-the-electoral-college-just-passed-a-major-milestone/
(4) https://www.seattletimes.com/opinion/editorials/skip-citizenship-question-on-census/

LICENCES FOR ILLEGALS:
(1) https://realconservativesunite.com/2019/06/18/nys-passes-bill-granting-driver-s-licenses-to-illegals/
(2) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Driver%27s_licenses_for_illegal_immigrants_in_the_United_States
(3) https://www.governing.com/topics/public-justice-safety/tns-sanctuary-cities-florida-bill.html
(4) https://www.apnews.com/66cc1a8de27e460096b8147aec0a2dcb

BORDER WALLS:
(1) https://www.rollcall.com/news/congress/no-border-wall-money-house-homeland-

security-funding-bill

(2) https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/policy/courts/federal-judge-who-blocked-trumps-border-wall-donated-20k-to-obama

(3) https://thehill.com/homenews/news/414578-migrant-caravan-members-sue-trump-over-proposed-border-policies

SUBVERSION:

(1) https://news.un.org/en/story/2018/11/1024882

(2) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vo_–rL0Lzw

(3) https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saudi_Arabia_lobby_in_the_United_States

**GLOBALISM, IDENTITY/RELIGION, IMMIGRATION (CANADA), TRANSPORTATION, VOTING PROCESS**